BUTTE COUNTY

2016 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN / SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

2016 - 2040

Adopted: December 8, 2016

Prepared by Butte County Association of Governments

326 Huss Drive, Suite 150 Chico CA 95928 530-809-4616 www.bcag.org







BUTTE COUNTY ASSOCIATION BUTTE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS RESOLUTION NO 2016/2017 - #14

RESOLUTION OF THE BUTTE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS CERTIFYING THE FINAL PROGAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE 2016 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN, ADOPTING A MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM, AND APPROVING THE 2016 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

WHEREAS, the Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) comprised of five member agencies: Butte County, the cities of Biggs, Chico, Gridley, Oroville or Paradise; and

WHEREAS, BCAG is the agency responsible for maintaining a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process which will result in a Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 134(a) and (g), 49 U.S.C. §5303(f); 23 C.F.R. §450, and 49 C.F.R. §613; and

WHEREAS, BCAG is the Lead Agency in preparing the Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy and is required to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) [Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21000 et seq.]; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15002(f), an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is the public document used by a governmental agency to analyze the significant environmental effects of a proposed project, to identify alternatives, and to disclose possible ways to reduce or avoid the potential environmental damage; and

WHEREAS, CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(a) specifies that a Program EIR (PEIR) be prepared on a series of actions that can be characterized as one large project and are related either: (1) geographically; (2) as logical parts in a chain of contemplated actions; (3) in connection with issuance of rules, regulations, plans, or other general criteria, to govern the conduct of a continuing program; or (4) as individual activities carried out under the same authorizing statutory or regulatory authority and having generally similar environmental effects which can be mitigated in similar ways; and

WHEREAS, BCAG has determined that a Program EIR is appropriate to assess the environmental impact of the 2016 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS); and

WHEREAS, the Program EIR is a regional planning level analysis which analyzes environmental impacts of the 2016 RTP-SCS on a broad planning level, while presenting as much detailed information about the individual RTP projects that is available at this time; and

WHEREAS, project-specific impacts of the individual RTP project should be analyzed in detail by the implementing agencies as the individual projects are designed, engineered, and considered for approval at a later date; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15086, BCAG consulted with and requested comments on the Draft Program EIR from responsible agencies, trustee agencies with resources affected by the project; and other state, federal, and local agencies which exercise authority over resources which may be affected by the RTP; and

WHEREAS, BCAG circulated a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an EIR for the proposed project on September 15, 2015, to trustee and responsible agencies, the State Clearinghouse, and the public; and

WHEREAS, a scoping meeting was held on September 29, 2015, at 4:00 PM in the in the BCAG Conference Room in the City of Chico and a second scoping meeting was held on September 30, 2015 at 3:00 PM in the Oroville City Hall Conference Room to solicit concerns and issues relative to the RTP; and

WHEREAS, concerns raised in response to the NOP were considered during preparation of the Draft Program EIR; and

WHEREAS, BCAG published a public notice of availability (NOA) for the Draft Program EIR on August 19, 2016, inviting comments from the general public, agencies, organizations, and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the Draft Program EIR was available for public review from August 19 through October 18, 2016; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088(a), BCAG, as the Lead Agency, must evaluate comments on significant environmental issues received from persons who review the Draft Program EIR and must prepare a written response thereto; and

WHEREAS, BCAG received three comment letters, all from public agencies, regarding the Draft Program EIR; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15088, the Final Program EIR responds to the written comments received, and

WHEREAS, the Final Program EIR document and the Draft Program EIR, as amended by the Final Program EIR, constitute the Final Program EIR; and

WHEREAS, when making the findings pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15091(a)(1), the agency must also adopt a program for reporting on or monitoring the changes which have been either required in the project or made a condition of approval to avoid or substantially lessen significant effects, and which are fully enforceable through permit conditions, agreements, or other measures, as required by CEQA Guidelines Section 15091(d); and

WHEREAS, consistent with the requirements of the CEQA Guidelines, a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) has been prepared to outline the procedures for implementing all mitigation measures identified in the EIR; and

WHEREAS, according to CEQA Guidelines Section 15093(b), where the decision of the public agency allows the occurrence of significant effects which are identified in the Final Program EIR but are not avoided or substantially lessened, the agency must issue a Statement of Overriding Considerations setting forth the specific reasons to support its actions based on the Final Program EIR or other information in the record; and

WHEREAS, CEQA Guidelines Section 15093(c) provides that if an agency makes a statement of overriding considerations, the statement should be included in the record of the project approval and should be mentioned in the Notice of Determination.

WHEREAS, Butte County, in accordance with the Transportation Conformity Rule requirements applicable to Butte County (§51.464 and §51.436 – 51.440), has satisfied the "no-greater-than-2011" test for the 2008 8-hour federal ozone NAAQS, the "budget test" for carbon monoxide for the 80-tons-per-day budget, and the "no-greater-than-2008" test for federal 24-hour PM2.5 NAAQS. Based on this analysis, the 2016 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and 2017 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) conforms to the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP) and all applicable sections of the EPA's Transportation Conformity Rule.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

- 1. The Butte County Association of Governments finds as follows:
 - (a) The Final Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR) prepared for the 2016 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) was completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act; and
 - (b) The Final Program EIR was presented to BCAG's decision making body, the BCAG Board; and
 - (c) The BCAG Board has reviewed and considered information contained in the Final Program EIR; and
 - (d) The Final Program EIR reflects BCAG's independent judgment and analysis; and
 - (e) The Final Program EIR consists of the Draft Program EIR and the Final Program EIR, which includes a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program; and
- 2. Based on and incorporating all of the foregoing recitals and findings supported by substantial evidence in the record and set forth in the "Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations," attached hereto and incorporated by reference, BCAG hereby certifies the Final Program EIR for the 2016 RTP and adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program; and
- 3. BCAG hereby approves the Butte County 2016 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy and Air Quality Conformity Determination.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the BCAG BOARD of Directors finds that the RTP/SCS achieves the regional greenhouse gas targets established by the California Air Resources Board and meets the requirements of SB 375;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the BCAG Board of Directors authorizes its staff to make any necessary changes to the RTP/SCS document to ensure the timely delivery and approval of the RTP/SCS to the appropriate state and federal agencies;

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Butte County Association of Governments on the 8^{th} day of December 2016 by the following vote:

AYES:	
Connelly, Kirk, Tee	ter, Busch, Fillmer, Jones
NOES:	
None	
ABSENT:	
Lambert, Wahl, Bor	ges, Dahlmeier
ABSTAIN:	
None	
APPROVED:	Bill Connelly, CHAIR
ATTEST:	JON A. CLARK, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BUTTE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS



"The preparation of this report has been financed in part from the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration under 49 U.S.C., Chapter 53 Section 5303-5306, Metropolitan Planning Grant."

"The work upon which the Sustainable Communities Strategy portion of this report was funded in whole or in part through a grant awarded by the Strategic Growth Council"

ACRONYMS FOR BCAG

ACRONYM	MEANING
AB	Assembly Bill
ACOE	Army Corps of Engineers
AFR	Accident Frequency Ratio
APS	Alternative Planning Strategy
AQMD	Air Quality Management District
ARB	Air Resource Board
AVL	Automatic Vehicle Location
BCAG	Butte County Association of Governments
CALCOG	California Association Council of Governments
CARB	California Air Resource Board
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality
CON	Construction
стс	California Transportation Commission
CTIPS	California Transportation Improvement Program System
DFG	California Department of Fish and Game
DOT	Department of Transportation
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EMFAC	Emissions Factors
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FTIP	Federal Transportation Improvement Program
FY	Fiscal Year
GARVEE	Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle Program
GhG	Greenhouse Gas Emissions
GIC	Geographical Information Center
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GPS	Global Positional Satellite
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
IIP	Interregional Improvement Program
IPG	Intermodal Planning Group
ITIP	Interregional Transportation Improvement Program
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems
JPA	Joint Powers Agreement
LAFCO	Local Agency Formation Commission
LTF	Local Transportation Fund
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
NAAQS	National Air Quality Standards
NCCP	Natural Community Conservation Plan
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service (Also NOAA Fisheries)

ACRONIVA	MEANING
ACRONYM	MEANING
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries (Also NMFS)
OWP	Overall Work Program
PA&ED	Project Appproval & Environmental Document
PDT	Project Development Team
PEER	Permit Engineering Evaluation Report
PL	Federal Planning Funds
PPH	Passengers Per Revenue Hour
PLH	Public Lands Highway
PPM	Planning Programming & Monitoring
PPNO	Project Programming Number
PS&E	Plans, Specifications & Estimates
PSR	Project Study Report
PTMISEA	Public Transportation Modernization Improvement and Service Enhancement Account
PUC	Public Utilities Code
R/W	Right of Way
RFP	Request for Proposals
RHNA	Regional Housing Needs Allocation
RHNP	Regional Housing Needs Plan
RIP	Regional Improvement Program
RTAC	Regional Target Advisory Committee
RTIP	Regional Transportation Improvement Program
RTP	· · ·
	Regional Transportation Plan
RTPA	Regional Transportation Planning Agency
SACOG SAFETEA-LU	Sacramento Area Council of Governments
SCEA	Safe Accountable Flexible Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
SCS	Sustainable Community Environmental Assessment
	Sustainable Community Strategy
SDP	Strategic Deployment Plan
SHOPP	State Highway Operation Protection Program
SSTAC	Social Services Transportation Advisory Council
STA	State Transit Assistance
STIP	State Transportation Improvement Program
TAC	Transportation Advisory Committee
TAOC	Transit Administrative Oversight Committee
TCRP	Transportation Congestion Relief Program
TDA	Transportation Development Act
TE	Transportation Enhancements
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TPP	Transit Priority Project
TSGP	Transit Security Grant Program
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
UTN	Unmet Transit Needs
WE	Work Element

BUTTE COUNTY 2016 RTP/SCS TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1
Introduction and Physical Setting1-1
POLICY ELEMENT
CHAPTER 2
GOALS, OBJECTIVES and POLICIES
Goals, Objectives and Policies2-1
ACTION ELEMENT
CHAPTER 3 ANALYSIS
Action Element Analysis3-1
Regional Issues3-1
Mandated Transportation Services3-2
Air Quality Conformity
Regional Modeling3-4 Regional Road Network3-5
Regional Performance Measures
Table 3-1 BCAG 2016 RTP/SCS Performance Measures3-7
Alternatives3-9
Social Impacts3-9
Environmental Issues
CHAPTER 4 SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY (SCS)
Introduction4-1
Background Information
Table 4-1 - RTP/SCS per Capita CO ₂ for Passenger Vehicles from 20054-2 Foundational Sustainable Planning Efforts4-3
Growth and Land Use Forecasts4-5

BUTTE COUNTY 2016 RTP/SCS TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

CHAPTER 4 SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY (SCS)

Table 4-2 - RTP/SCS Regional Growth Forecasts Figure 4-1 - BCAG Regional Growth Land Use Forecasts Figure 4-2 - BCAG 2016 RTP/SCS Growth Area Types Table 4-3 - Summary of Housing Units Forecasted in RTP/SCS Figure 4-3 - % of New Housing Units by Growth Area Table 4-4 - Summary of Employment Forecasted in RTP/SCS by Growth Area	4-6 4-7 4-8 4-10 4-11
Figure 4-4 - % of New Employees by Growth Area	4-14 4-14 4-17 co TPP
AreasFigure 4-7 - Chico TPP Area Forecasted Growth	4-18 4-20 4-20
CHAPTER 5 ACTION ELEMENT CONCLUSIONS	
LinkagesAction Element Overview	
CHAPTER 6 HIGHWAYS AND LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS	
Background Purpose and Need Regional Projects Within Financial Constraints Table 6-1 – State Transportation Improvement Program Figure 6-1- Regional Priorities	6-1 6-2 6-2

BUTTE COUNTY 2016 RTP/SCS TABLE OF CONTENTS- Continued

CHAPTER 6 HIGHWAYS AND LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS

Table 6-2 – 2016 State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP)	6-6
Table 6-3 – Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program	6-7
Table 6-4 – Congressional Earmark Projects	
Table 6-5 – Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP)	6-11
Table 6-6 – Funded Projects Included in Regional Emissions Analysis	
Table 6-7 – Exempt Projects Included in the RTP/SCS	6-14
Unfunded Regional Priorities Beyond Financial Constraints	6-16
State Highway Corridor Strategies	
Currently Programmed and Funded Projects (RTIP & FTIP)	6-19
Table 6-8 – 2017 FTIP Projects (FFY 16/17 – FFY 19/20)	6-20
CHAPTER 7	
TRANSIT	
Background	
Purpose and Need	7-2
Transit Performance	
B-Line Fixed Route Service	
Figure 7-1 – Butte Regional Transit (B-Line) Website	
Figure 7-2 Butte Regional Transit	
Route Descriptions	
Figure 7-3 – Summary of B-Line Routes Wholly within Chico	
Figure 7-4 – Summary of B-Line Routes Wholly within Other Butte County Cities	
Figure 7-5 – Summary Intercity B-Line Routes	
Fixed Route Fleet & Facilities	
Figure 7-6 B-Line Fixed Route Fleet	
Figure 7-7 – B-Line Fixed Route Fare Structure	
Figure 7-8 – Cash Fare Usage Summary, Local Routes	
Figure 7-9 – Cash Fare Usage Summary, Regional Routes	
Figure 7-10 – B-Line Transaction Pass Usage	
Special School Holiday Service	
B – Line Paratransit	
Figure 7-15 – B-Line Paratransit Fleet	7-15

BUTTE COUNTY 2016 RTP/SCS TABLE OF CONTENTS- Continued

CHAPTER 7 TRANSIT

Figure 7-16 – Cash Fare Usage Summary, B-Line Paratransit Fleet	.7-16
Figure 7-17 – B-Line Performance Metrics	
Figure 7-18 – B-Line Ridership	.7-18
Figure 7-19 – B-Line Performance Indicators	.7-18
Figure 7-20 – Operating Cost per Hour	
Figure 7-21 – Operating Cost per Passenger	.7-20
Figure 7-22 – Operating Cost per Mile	.7-21
Figure 7-23 – Passengers per Hour	.7-22
Figure 7-24 – Passengers per Mile	.7-23
Figure 7-25 – Average Fare per Passenger	.7-24
Figure 7-26 – Farebox Recovery Ratio	
Mid Term Plan (2017-2017)	.7-26
Figure 7-27 – Mid Term Service Plan Recommendation	.7-27
Resource Allocation in the Mid Term	.7-28
Figure 7-28 – Mid Term Annual Resource Allocation	.7-28
Major Transit Initiatives	
Figure 7-29 – Potential B-Line Route 1 Stop Spacing	.7-30
Figure 7-30 – B-Line Route 1 Bus: Sample Branding Concept	.7-31
Figure 7-31 – Proposed Fir Street Park & Ride Relocation Expansion	
Figure 7-32 – Sketch Concept of 4th Street Transit Center	.7-35
Long Term Service Plan (to 2040)	.7-36
Figure 7-33 - Long Term Service Plan	.7-38
Conclusion	.7-39
Social Service Transportation	
Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan	
Figure 7-34 – Butte County Coordination Plan	.7-38
Figure 7-35 – Butte County Coordination Plan Recommended Goals, Objectives	
And Suggested Strategies	
Consolidated Transportation Service Agency (CTSA)	
Other Transportation Options	
Transit Needs Assessment	
Transit Planning	
ADA Paratransit Program	.7-51

BUTTE COUNTY 2016 RTP/SCS TABLE OF CONTENTS- Continued

CHAPTER 8 NON-MOTORIZED TRANSPORTATION

NON-MOTORIZED TRANSPORTATION	
Background	
Purpose and Need	8-1
Bikeways	
Bicycle & Pedestrian Practices & Policies	
Figure 8-1 – Active Transportation Plan Requirements	
Existing Levels of Walking and Bicycling	
Figure 8-2 – American Community Survey Mode Share %	
Figure 8-3 – American Community Survey Number of Commuters by Mode	
Existing and Planned Walking and Bicycling Infrastructure	
Figure 8-4 – Active Transportation Program Projects	8-12
Figure 8-5 – Existing and Proposed Bicycle Facilities – Countywide, Gridley	
and Paradise	
Figure 8-6 – Existing and Proposed Bicycle Facilities – Oroville	
Figure 8-7 – Existing and Proposed Bicycle Facilities – Chico	
Collision Analysis	
Figure 8-8 – Summary of Butte County Injury and Fatal Collisions	
Figure 8-9 – Pedestrian and Bicycle Collisions - Countywide, Paradise, Biggs	
and Gridley	
Figure 8-10 – Pedestrian and Bicycle Collisions - Oroville	
Figure 8-11 – Pedestrian and Bicycle Collisions - Chico	
Improving Transit Access	
Conclusion	8-21
CHAPTER 9 INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS Background	9-1
Purpose and Need	
ITS Development	
Northern California Regional ITS Master Plan	
Transportation Systems Management (TSM)	
Purpose and Need	
TSM & TDM Strategies	
Previously Programmed and Funded Projects	
RTP/SCS Planned Improvements	

BUTTE COUNTY 2016 RTP/SCS TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

CHAPTER 10 AVIATION

Background	10-1
Airport Land Use Compatibility Planning	
Regional Overview	
Forecasts and Trends	
Capacity Analysis	
Aviation Action Plan	
Conclusion	
Figure 10-1 – Airport Master Records	
CHAPTER 11	
RAIL	
Background	
Existing Rail Services	
Rail Service Needs and Assessment	
Figure 11-1 – Passenger Rail Service Map	
Grade Crossings	
Rail Action Plan	11-6
CHAPTER 12	
GOODS MOVEMENT	
Background	
Goods Transport	
Figure 12-1 – Freight Rail Map	
Table 12-1 – Chico Municipal Airport (Air Cargo)	
Table 12-2 – Chico Municipal Airport (Air Cargo Aircraft Departures)	
Table 12-3 – Major Water Suppliers in Butte County	
Goods Movement Assessment	
Figure 12-2 – Petroleum Pipelines	
Figure 12-3 – Natural Gas Pipelines	
Transportation of Hazardous Waste	
Rail-Motor Vehicle Conflicts	
Goods Movement Action Plan	12 - 8

BUTTE COUNTY 2016 RTP/SCS TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

FINANCIAL ELEMENT

CHAPTER 13 Financial Element

Background	13-1
Financial Assumptions	
Current Funding Sources and Projected Revenues and Expenditures	13-1
Federal	13-1
Table 13-1 – Federal Funding Sources	13-2
State	
Table 13-2 – State Funding Sources	13-6
Local	
Table 13-3 – Functional Classification for Federal Aid System	13-10
Table 13-4 – Highway Performance Monitory System – Butte County	
Maintained Miles	13-10
Table 13-5 – Revenues by Agency Summary	13-11
Table 13-6 – Unfunded Regional Projects Summary	
Other Funding Sources	13-15
ŭ	

APPENDICES

Appendix 1 - Air Quality Conformity Analysis and Determina	ition
Appendix 2 - Environmental Impact Report	
Appendix 3 - Public Involvement Documentation	
Appendix 4 - Comments Received	

Appendix 4 - Comments Received
Appendix 5 - Caltrans RTP Checklist

Appendix 6-1: SB 375 Requirements and Checklist

Appendix 6-2: Regional Growth Forecasts

Appendix 6-3: 2012 MTP/SCS Land Use Scenario Analysis

Appendix 6-4: Regional Housing Needs Plan

Appendix 6-5: Resource Areas and Farmlands Considerations

Appendix 6-6: Modeling

6-6A: Land Use Allocation Model

6-6B: Travel Demand Model

Appendix 6-7: Public Involvement Efforts

Appendix 6-8: Local Government Land Use Authority and CEQA Streamlining

Appendix 6-9: Consultation with LAFCO

Appendix 7 - Regional Road Network